



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,440	08/01/2001	Koichi Maruyama	P21012	4706
7055 7	590 04/02/2003			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1950 ROLANI RESTON, VA	O CLARKE PLACE 20191		AMARI, ALESSANDRO V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/918,440	MARUYAMA, KOIC	MARUYAMA, KOICHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover she t with	h the correspondenc add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	red to avoid abandonment of this ither: (1) a timely filed amendme if Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reput which places the application	ply to a cation in	
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing LY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS. The date on which the petition under 37 of the date on the corresponding amounts in the corresponding amounts of the corresponding amounts in the corresponding amount in the corresponding amounts in the correspondin	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate exity set in the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 March 20 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof		•	orth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	tered because:			
(a) 🗌 they raise new issues that would require	re further consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);	•	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or s	simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claim	ms.	
NOTE:			•	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely file	d amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) req		n considered but does No	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		LELY to issues which we	ere newly	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amer explanation of how the new or amended cla	· · · · —	· —	and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Exam	niner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	tatement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)		
10. Other:		All Lill	-	
		MARK A. ROSI PRIMARY EXAI	_	





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed objective lens from the prior art cited.